


JUL 19 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Certification of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that on the date shown below this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Signature of person signing certification: 

Date: July 19, 2006

Name of person signing certification: Monica Gavilan

Applicant: William E. Hamilton, et al Group Art Unit: 2628
 Serial No.: 09/844,046 Examiner: Yang, Ryan R.
 Filed: April 26, 2001
 Title: METHOD FOR PROLONGING CRT SCREEN LIFE BY REDUCED PHOSPHOR BURNING
 Attorney Docket No.: 16960.00125

REQUEST FOR RESETTING TIME FOR RESPONSE

VIA FACSIMILE 571-273-8300

Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant hereby requests that the time for response to the office action mailed April 28, 2006 be reset to allow Applicant sufficient time to prepare a proper response to the office action. The reason for the request that the time for response be reset is that Applicant's Attorney did not receive the office action. An explanation of the details is contained below.

1. An office action in the above-referenced application was mailed April 28, 2006, as shown on PTO-90C in Attachment I. Evidently, the office action was returned to the USPTO on May 9, 2006 as evidenced by the date stamp on PTO-90C in Attachment I and the envelope (Attachment II) which contained the subject office action. The envelope from

16960.00125
 Application No. 09/844,046

JUL 19 2006

the subject office action was stamped by the Post Office as "Returned For Better Address".

2. Applicant's attorney has been the attorney of record in the above-referenced application since October 2003 and the address shown on PTO-90C has been the correspondence address in the subject application since October 2003 (Please see Attachment III). Applicant's attorney has received previous correspondence from the USPTO concerning the above-referenced application at the address listed on PTO-90C and continues to receive correspondence from the USPTO in other patent matters at the same address. Accordingly, Applicant submits that apparently the address on PTO-90C was not showing through the window in the envelope when it reached the Post Office and thus was returned to the USPTO.
3. On July 17, 2006, Applicant's attorney received an email from Ms. Sue Brase, a paralegal with the law firm of Kimble, MacMichael & Upton (Attachment IV). The Kimble firm had previously been responsible for the subject application. In checking on patents, Ms. Brase noticed that a final rejection in the subject application was noted as returned as undeliverable and brought it to the attention of Applicant's attorney. The email (Attachment IV) contained an attached file, which consisted of Attachments I and II.
4. On July 17, 2006, Applicant's attorney spoke to Examiner Yang, who advised Applicant's attorney to call Supervisory Examiner Razavi. On July 18, 2006, Applicant

16960.00125
Application No. 09/844,046

spoke to Supervisory Examiner Razavi who directed Applicant's attorney to submit a written request to reset the period for response to the April 28, 2006 office action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 19, 2006


Paul T. Kashimba, Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 29,180
Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A.
500 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1400
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33394-3076
Direct: (954) 712-1465
Tel: (954) 462-2000
Fax: (954) 523-1722
Email: pkashimba@gunster.com

FTL 307234.1

16960.00125
Application No. 09/844,046